

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \			
FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
11/09/2001	Hidekazu Nakai '	275788US6	5902		
7590 04/04/2006		EXAMINER			
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			SCUDERI, PHILIP S		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,		2153			
	11/09/2001 7590 04/04/2006 PIVAK, MCCLELLA STREET	11/09/2001 Hidekazu Nakai ' 7590 04/04/2006 PIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. STREET	11/09/2001 Hidekazu Nakai ' 275788US6 7590 04/04/2006 EXAM IVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. SCUDERI, STREET ART UNIT IA, VA 22314 ART UNIT		

DATE MAILED: 04/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

I	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/037,334	NAKAI, HIDEKAZU		
Ì	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Philip S. Scuderi	2153		

	Philip S. Scuderi	2153	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP		•	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7.	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropring the final Office to of the final rejection, of the final rejection, of the final rejection, of the final rejection, of the final rejection.	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in belappeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 			the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-6. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 	□ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. A The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper <u>N</u>	lo(s).	
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.		2	
		Print Carrier	

Applicant's amendments overcome the claim objections set forth in the last Office action and will be entered for purposes of appeal.

The Sato reference was used to reject the claims in the non-final action mailed on 27 July 2005. Applicant attempted to traverse the rejection by presenting the arguments filed on 25 October 2005, rather than presenting a certified translation of the priority document. The examiner maintained the rejection using the Sato reference and made the action final. There is no evidence on the record of good and sufficient reasons why the certified translation of the priority document was not presented earlier in prosecution. The certified translation of the priority document raises further consideration issues because the examiner would need to consider whether the document fully supports the claimed subject matter. As such, the certified priority document will not be entered at this time and the claims stand rejected for the reasons set forth in the final office action mailed on 22 December 2005.